

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,380	12/28/2000	Denny Ho	101229-00000	5574
7590 09/06/2006 ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC Suite 600 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5339			EXAMINER	
			CHAMPAGNE, DONALD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	······································
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/749,380	HO, DENNY
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Donald L. Champagne	3622
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NG - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute. cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)
Status			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal matter	
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	
5)	Claim(s) 1-6,9-15 and 17-20 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6,9-15 and 17-20 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exact The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 December 2006</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cather that or declaration is objected to by the	② is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for Mall b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bushee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been r ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)		
1)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Su Paper No(s) B/08) 5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 15 June 2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed with an amendment on 15 June 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments are addressed by the following revised rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. <u>Claims 1-6, 9-15 and 17-20</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lanzillo, Jr. et al. (US 20020032602A1) in view of Scroggie et al. (US005970469A).
- 5. <u>Lanzillo, Jr. et al. teaches</u> (independent claims 1, 9-14 and 17-20) a system, server, apparatus, method and record medium for controlling sales promotions using direct mail based on an Internet technology, the method comprising: determining whether or not issued direct mail was opened (browsed) through a direct mail open acknowledge request option, and automatically storing this event in database records to permit the identification of active users and a record of ad penetration (para. [0021]), which reads on automatically controlling sales promotion activities for commodities advertised in the direct mail based on the determined result. <u>Lanzillo, Jr. et al. also teaches</u> a *limited-time offer* (para. 0011]), which

Page 3

Art Unit: 3622

reads on a special benefit offered only to a customer who opened the direct mail and purchased commodities advertised therein within a designated period.

- 6. <u>Lanzillo</u>, <u>Jr. et al. does not teach obtaining a customer number, a commodity code and a transaction date from a POS register terminal device</u>. <u>Scroggie et al. teaches</u> obtaining a customer number, a commodity code and an expiration date from a POS register terminal device (col. 9 line 67 to col. 10 line 4). <u>Because Scroggie et al. teaches</u> that this enables a more focused promotion (*incentive*, col. 4 lines 33-35), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add the teachings of Scroggie et al. to those of Lanzillo, Jr. et al.
- 7. Scroggie et al. also teaches matching and verifying customer, promotion and purchased commodity a POS register terminal device (col. 11 lines 57-63), which reads on referencing bargain (incentive) information stored in a transaction information managing unit (storage device 306); setting the sales price to the regular price if either the commodity is not confirmed to be the promotion commodity, or if the customer is not confirmed to be the direct mail (E-mail, col. 12 lines 53-56) recipient; and setting the sales price to the promotion price if all criteria are met.
- 8. <u>Lanzillo, Jr. et al. also teaches</u> at the citations given above claims 4, 5 and 15. <u>Lanzillo, Jr. et al. also teaches</u> claim 6 (para. [0041] and [0008]).

Conclusion

- 9. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09749380. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 10. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 3622

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L Champagne whose telephone number is 571-272-6717. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 5 PM ET, Monday to Thursday. The examiner can also be contacted by e-mail at donald.champagne@uspto.gov, and informal fax communications (i.e., communications not to be made of record) may be sent directly to the examiner at 571-273-6717. The fax phone number for all formal matters is 571-273-8300.
- 12. The examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, can be reached on 571-272-6724.
- 13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).
- 14. AFTER FINAL PRACTICE Consistent with MPEP § 706.07(f) and 713.09, prosecution generally ends with the final rejection. Examiner will grant an interview after final only when applicant presents compelling evidence that "disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration" (MPEP § 713.09). The burden is on applicant to demonstrate this requirement, preferably in no more than 25 words. Amendments are entered after final only when the amendments will clearly simplify issues, or put the case into condition for allowance, clearly and without additional search or more than nominal consideration.
- 15. Applicant may have after final arguments considered and amendments entered by filing an RCE.
- 16. **ABANDONMENT** If examiner cannot by telephone verify applicant's intent to continue prosecution, the application is subject to abandonment six months after mailing of the last

Art Unit: 3622

Page 5

Office action. The agent, attorney or applicant point of contact is responsible for assuring that the Office has their telephone number. Agents and attorneys may verify their registration information including telephone number at the Office's web site, www.uspto.gov. At the top of the home page, click on Site Index. Then click on Agent & Attorney Roster in the alphabetic list, and search for your registration by your name or number.

Donald L. Champagne Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622

31 August 2006

DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE PRIMARY EXAMINED